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| KEY PRIORITY 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promote and support the sustainable regeneration and economic development of Tonbridge Town Centre. | Economic situation. <br> Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD) not prepared due to impending changes in legislation | Unable to optimize receipt of developer contributions. <br> Council reputation adversely affected. <br> Reputational risk. | 6 | 3 | 18 | M.T via C/O PT\&L | Whole issue under review as a result of new proposals to be introduced by Government during 2009 which could obviate the need for the Council to adopt this SPD, but require another approach to be adopted | 1. |
| KEY PRIORITY 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secure a continuing supply of affordable housing and work to prevent homelessness. | Economic situation. <br> Lack of suitable development opportunities. <br> Lack of capital funding. | Reputational risk and failure to attract future funding. <br> Increase in the shortfall of affordable housing. <br> Increasing demand for existing affordable housing with longer average waiting time. | 4 | 3 | 12 | M.T via C/O <br> EHHS | 1. Levels of housing need quantified in 2008 Strategic Housing Market Assessment. <br> 2. Clear policies for the provision of new affordable housing identified in LDF Core Strategy (CP17) and Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Documents. <br> 2. Preferred partner Registered Social Landlords selected for their proven track record in delivering new affordable housing. Performance monitored as part of an on-going and open dialogue. |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3. Strong relationship with developers, landowners, parishes, support providers, and Homes \& Communities Agency. |  |
| KEY PRIORITY 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Involve, safeguard and meet the needs of children and young people. | Economic situation. <br> Failure to engage target markets. | Professional - Loss of public perception. <br> Social - No reduction in health inequalities or childhood obesity rates | 2 | 2 | 4 | M.T via C/O PT\&L | Children and Young People Officer Study Group meets on a regular basis to monitor actions and progress. <br> Safeguarding Children Policy reviewed on annual basis and audit submitted to Kent Children's Safeguarding Board, <br> All staff working with young people are CRB checked and receive relevant safeguarding children training. <br> Members of Local Children's Services <br> Partnerships to ensure multi-agency approach. <br> Council's facilities modified to address <br> safeguarding issues. <br> All Play-scheme venues inspected by OFSTED. | 2. |
| KEY PRIORITY 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Achieve a cleaner, smarter and better maintained street scene and open space environment. | Economic situation. <br> Failure or poor performance of contractor. <br> Fuel shortage. | *Lower levels of cleanliness <br> *Adverse public reaction/complaints *Risk to public health *Failure to comply with health and safety legal requirements | 4 | 3 | 12 | M.T via C/O EHHS | Street Scene OSG regularly meets to monitor actions and progress. Procedural checks in place to ensure accurate work and financial records are maintained. Annual review of service undertaken at end of each financial year. Public liability in place. Performance management systems are in place across key areas of the service. Contract Procedure Rules are the framework within which the procurement of the contract was approved. Officers monitor the contract and provide updates to Members. Auditing of high risk activities being undertaken in 2009/10 to ensure compliance with |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | H\&S requirements. |  |
| KEY PRIORITY 5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promote, encourage and provide opportunities for healthy living. | Loss of key staff. <br> Loss of external funding. <br> Lack of Council input into Projects. | Decline in public health standards locally. Negative image/criticism of the Council. Failure to progress corporate priorities. | 3 | 2 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.T via } \\ & \text { C/O } \\ & \text { EHHS } \end{aligned}$ | Health OSG and Policy Board in place to monitor and promote activity. Environmental Projects Coordinator works closely with CS and other partners on key initiatives. | 3. |
| KEY PRIORITY 6. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Work with partners to increase safety by tackling Acquisitive crime Anti-social behaviour Perception of crime Substance misuse Violent crime | Loss of key staff, implementation not monitored. <br> Economic situation | Failure to implement actions <br> Adverse publicity for the CSP | 2 | 2 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.T via } \\ & \text { C/O } \\ & \text { CE } \end{aligned}$ | Action plan regularly reviewed and monitored by the Community Safety Partnership. |  |
| KEY PRIORITY 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Achieve with our partners the priorities set out - <br> a. In the sustainable Communities Strategy for TMBC 2009/12. <br> b. In the Local Area Agreement. <br> c. Arising from work of the Borough's Local Strategic | Economic situation <br> Loss of key staff to drive initiatives | Reputation at risk with both public and Partners (current and future) <br> Loss of grant funding from KA2. | 2 | 2 | 4 | M.T via c/O CE | Progress of strategies and actions formally monitored and reported on via Local Strategic Partnership. |  |
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| Partnership. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KEY PRIORITY 8. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Make a positive local contribution to tackling the causes and effects of climate change. | Failure to secure implementation of adopted strategy and action plan. <br> Economic situation. | Negative image/criticism of the Council | 2 | 2 | 4 | M.T via C/O CE | Monitoring of achievements against action plan regularly monitored by OSG |  |



